

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

CHARLES BLACKWELL

v.

JAMES PETER ALLEN, et al.

Case No. 21-12284

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH

Plaintiff(s),

Defendant(s).

/

ORDER STRIKING DOCUMENT (Dkt. 16)

The Court has reviewed the following document: Plaintiff's motion for extension (Dkt. 16). The Court finds that it should be stricken for the following reason(s):

- Missing or insufficient statement of non-concurrence. See LR 7.1(a).
- Over-length. See LR 7.1(d)(3).
- Wrong font size. See LR 5.1(a)(3).
- Missing required information (e.g., concise statement of issues, controlling or most appropriate authority, etc.). See LR 7.1(d)(2).
- Improperly formatted (e.g., single-spaced, improper margins, missing page numbers, etc.). See LR 5.1(a)(2).
- Does not comply with the Case Management Order in this case for the following reasons:

Other: _____

_____.

Accordingly, the Court strikes the document. Plaintiff _____ shall file a corrected document that complies with all requirements on or before January 10, 2022.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 5, 2022
Detroit, Michigan

s/Mark A. Goldsmith

MARK A. GOLDSMITH
United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record and any unrepresented parties via the Court's ECF System to their respective email or First Class U.S. mail addresses disclosed on the Notice of Electronic Filing on January 5, 2022.

s/Karri Sandusky

Karri Sandusky
Case Manager